

1 FRIEDMAN & SPRINGWATER LLP
2 JANE K. SPRINGWATER (S.B. NO. 100284)
3 STEFANIE A. ELKINS (S.B. NO. 249839)
4 33 New Montgomery Street, Suite 290
5 San Francisco, CA 94105
6 Telephone Number: (415) 834-3800
7 Facsimile Number: (415) 834-1044
8 Email: jspringwater@friedmanspring.com
9 Email: selkins@friedmanspring.com

10 JENNER & BLOCK LLP
11 VINCENT E. LAZAR (admitted *pro hac vice*)
12 LANDON S. RAIFORD (admitted *pro hac vice*)
13 353 N. Clark Street
14 Chicago, IL 60654-3456
15 Telephone Number: (312) 923-2989
16 Facsimile Number: (312) 840-7389
17 Email: VLazar@jenner.com
18 Email: LRaiford@jenner.com

19 Attorneys for Creditor
20 Universal Music Investments, Inc.

21
22 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
23
24 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
25
26 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

27
28 In re Case No. 13-32281 DM
16 Exigen (USA), INC. Chapter 11
17
18 Debtor. **OBJECTION TO APPLICATION TO
19 APPROVE EMPLOYMENT OF
20 COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY,
21 LLP AS SPECIAL COUNSEL
22 PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §327(E)**

23
24
25
26
27
28 Universal Music Investments, Inc. (“UMI”) hereby files this objection to the
Application to Approve Employment of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP as Special Counsel
Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §327(e) [ECF 29] (the “Application”), filed by Exigen (USA), Inc.
(the “Debtor” or “Exigen USA”). In support of this objection, UMI respectfully represents
as follows:

1
2
3
BACKGROUND
4
5

6 1. On October 17, 2013, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition commencing
7 this case.
8
9

10 2. No creditors' committee has been appointed.
11 3. On November 12, 2013, the Debtor filed a Motion to Approve Debtor-
12 In-Possession Financing and Post-Petition Retainer. A preliminary hearing was held on
13 November 6, 2013. The Court did not approve the proposed financing and scheduled a
14 continued preliminary hearing for November 25, 2013.
15
16

17 4. On November 15, 2013, UMI filed a Motion For Appointment Of A
18 Chapter 11 Trustee or To Convert Case To Chapter 7. A hearing on the motion is scheduled
19 for December 13, 2013.
20
21

22 5. On November 18, 2013, the Debtor filed the Application, in which it
23 seeks to retain Cottchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP as special counsel in connection with the
24 *Genesys* litigation, and to compensate that law firm on essentially the same terms and
25 conditions as existed prior to the petition date.
26
27

28 **OBJECTION**
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 6. UMI does not object in concept to the basic economic terms of the
30 proposed legal representation (a 16%/25% contingency fee arrangement), or to the potential
31 retention of counsel who already may be familiar with the claims. However, the Application
32 leaves many unanswered questions, including:
33
34

35 (a) The Contingency Fee Agreement attached as Exhibit B to the
36 Gregory Declaration [ECF 28-3] in support of Debtor's application defines the
37 "Client" as "Exigen Group, a Delaware Corporation, and any of its subsidiaries or
38 related entities." The Application and Contingency Fee Agreement fail to specify
39 from which Exigen entities counsel proposes to take direction, or how counsel
40 proposes to deal with any divergence of opinion or conflicts that may arise between
41
42

1 the Debtor's estate and the other Exigen entities that are controlled by Exigen Capital,
2 now that the Debtor is the subject of a chapter 11 proceeding;

3 (b) Neither the Contingency Fee Agreement nor any pleading filed
4 in the underlying litigation explains why 14 "Exigen" entities are pursuing claims
5 which appear (based on the *Genesys* pleadings) to be largely attributable to the
6 Debtor's business, or how any recovery is proposed to be allocated among the various
7 Exigen entities. Without such information, it is impossible to determine whether a
8 joint retention and any proposal concerning the payment of expenses is in the best
9 interests of the Debtor's estate;

10 (c) The Contingency Fee Agreement (at par. 2) provides for a
11 payment to counsel in the event of a non-cash settlement. Neither the Contingency
12 Fee Agreement nor the Application explains which Exigen entities would be
13 responsible for making such a payment, and it would be inappropriate to saddle the
14 Debtor's estate (which has no business operations and would not benefit from a non-
15 cash settlement, and has no cash to make such a payment to counsel in any event)
16 with such a burden; and

17 (d) The Contingency Fee Agreement (at par. 6) requires the
18 "Client" to pay all expenses, and also to pay all expert fees. Neither the Contingency
19 Fee Agreement nor the application explains which Exigen entities are proposed to be
20 responsible for paying expenses and expert fees, and in what percentages.

21 7. Moreover, whether the Debtor will continue to exist as a debtor-in-
22 possession, as well as the source and amount of any funding for the Debtor's estate, are
23 highly relevant to the issues identified above. Thus, any retention of special counsel should
24 be deferred until after the Court determines whether to appoint a chapter 11 trustee or
25 convert the Debtor's case to chapter 7, and there is more clarity concerning the estate's
26 funding.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, UMI respectfully requests that the Court deny the Application without prejudice, and for such other and further relief as is proper and just.

DATED: November 21, 2013

FRIEDMAN & SPRINGWATER LLP

By: /s/ Jane K. Springwater

Jane K. Springwater

Attorneys for Creditor

UNIVERSAL MUSIC INVESTMENTS, INC.